

THE LONDON BOMBINGS of 7th JULY 2005 - HALLMARKS OF AN INSIDE JOB / COVER UP

TONY FARRELL – JANUARY 2012

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this briefing paper is to present to viewers of the RICHPLANET programme some background analysis to support the two interviews I have given to Richard D Hall spread over the last six months. My analysis attempts to go some way to justify why I personally could not believe the Government's official account of the London bombings of 7th July 2005. Readers should be mindful that I am still in an ongoing employment tribunal case with my former employers South Yorkshire Police and so this is just a partial picture concerning the stance which led to my dismissal on 2nd September 2010.

CONTEXT

Readers may be aware that on 7th July 2010, exactly five years after the London Bombings, I had a crisis of conscience at work. Expectations were on me to deliver an assignment at a board meeting on 8th July 2010. The assignment involved saying amongst other things that the main terror threat came from Islamic Extremism. In the position I found myself in, I became reluctant to state this without first seeing proofs from management. No such proofs could be offered to me. Having been alerted to the vast amount of open source material that undermined the official versions, I had come to disbelieve the official versions of 9/11 and 7/7. I went further than that and concluded that 9/11 and 7/7 had all the hallmarks of being inside jobs rather than jobs perpetrated by 23 suicidal Muslim maniacs.

METHODOLOGY

Given my conceptual model of the strategic threat from terrorism, I have attempted to identify some of the key issues around the London Bombings and isolate some of the most pertinent facts. I have made an attempt to assess the degree to which each fact lends support to either the Government's official narrative or my own conceptual model of London Bombings being an inside job. To do this, I have introduced a simple LIKERT scale scoring system and applied it against both sides to the argument and systematically applied a score against each fact. I have restricted analysis to no more than 10 pages. This should be sufficient to demonstrate proof of concept.

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
F = An assigned value supporting the "inside job" hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

THE LONDON BOMBINGS of 7th JULY 2005 - HALLMARKS OF AN INSIDE JOB / COVER UP	
SECTION I – MAJOR RESEARCH / DOCUMENTARIES / WEBSITES	
J7: THE JULY 7TH TRUTH CAMPAIGN	The website was set-up following the London bombings on 7 July 2005 with the aim of getting to the truth about what really happened. The comprehensive website has been at the forefront of 7/7 research. The website continues its call for a full independent public inquiry.
MIND THE GAP	Mind the Gap was an early film narrated by former MI5 Officer David Shayler detailing anomalies in the official Home Office report. The filmmakers maintain an informative website.
LUDICROUS DIVERSION ANONYMOUS	This professionally produced film came out on the internet as early as 15 th September 2006 and was a clear benchmark for other films about the London Bombings to follow. That this excellent early film was never picked up by mainstream media remains a deep concern.
DR NICK KOLLESTROM	“Terror on the Tube: Behind the Veil of 7/7” is in its third edition offers an extremely detailed investigation into the official narrative. A thought provoking website is also available.
TOM SECKER’S FILMS	Tom Secker has made two remarkable but disturbing films exposing problems with the official government. The films are entitled Seeds of Deconstruction (2010) and Crime and Prejudice (2011). Brilliantly produced and narrated, both place the events in a wider geopolitical context and raise concerns about the real motives of the Government and the Intelligence Services.
KEELAN BALDERSTONE	Two recent analytical compilations “7/7 Pig Picture” and an updated film in 2011 “7/7 What Did they know?” probe deeply into the inadequacies of the official story and state secrecy.
MUAD DIB	7/7 Ripple Effect was a highly provocative film which presented an alternative hypothesis to the government narrative. The film attached significant weighting to events that were reported to have occurred on that 7/7 July at Canary Wharf. It alleges state complicity.
CONSPIRACY FILES - BBC	In 2008 the BBC produced its own program as part of its 'Conspiracy Files' series which defended the official narrative and went of the offensive against Muad’ Dib and Dr Kollestrom.
HOMEFRONT	Thomas Ikimi’s gives some illustrative examples of how truth has become a casualty with 7/7.
OTHER RESEARCHERS	The work of Nafeez Ahmed, Dr Rory Ridley Duff, Peter Osborne, Mark Curtis, Crispin Black, Milan Rai to name just a few have all contributed to trying to expose the truth about the London Bombings.

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
 F = An assigned value supporting the “inside job” hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

THE LONDON BOMBINGS of 7th JULY 2005 HALLMARKS OF AN INSIDE JOB / COVER UP				
SECTION II) OMISSIONS, DECEITS AND ABSURDITIES IN OFFICIAL NARRATIVE				
	ISSUE	PREMISE	F	A
1	Premature and inappropriate blaming	a) Tony Blair – “We know that these people act in the name of Islam” b) Jack Straw – “Attacks bear hallmarks of Al Qaeda” each said on 7 th July 05 c) Sir Ian Blair – “Four Miserable Bombers” d) Judge at the Kingston Trial & Justice Hallet both wrongfully in law countenanced perpetuation that 4 Suicide Bombers were guilty. e) “Innocent until proven guilty” has been willfully abandoned by CJS for 7/7 saga.	4 3 1 5 5 5	0 0 0 0 0 0
2	Serious Omissions and Faults within the official narrative	a) The Official Home Official Report released 11 th May 06 was penned anonymously b) Reports of other incidents (including reports of assassinations) at Canary Wharf have been omitted; remained unexplained and are deeply suspicious c) Omission of mock terror drill exercise of Peter Power from Visor Consultants d) Omission of the Jaguar connection at Luton on 28 th June 05 & 7 th July 05 e) Discovery of the 7:40 Ghost Train from Luton / King Cross by independent researchers under the Freedom of Information Act– corrected later - Home Secretary Charles Clarke – July 06	4 5 4 4 4	0 0 0 0 0
3	Failure of the state to investigate	a) Failure to promptly investigate Peter Power / Visor Consultants b) Failure to investigate the presence and changing testimonies of Richard Jones c) Failure to investigate KingStar’s presence at Tavistock – a specialist Demolition Firm d) Failure to investigate owner of Jaguar vehicle at Luton Stn on 28 th June & 7 th July	3 1 3	0 0 0
4	Phantom Terror Events / Pre Planned Events	a) Controlled explosions in Sheffield & Nottingham - 5 th July 05 b) Bomb scare in Gleneagles in Scotland near G8 summit – 5 th July 05 c) Resilience Mortuary contract - 6 th July 05	1 1 3	0 1 0
5	CCTV-rich / Evidence Poor	a) Contrary to all expectations, failure of CCTV to work on the no 30 bus. b) Contrary to all expectations, failure of Underground CCTV to adequately pinpoint the movements of the four alleged bombers c) Incomplete CCTV film of events at Luton Car Park and Cut out of CCTV showing the Jaquar movement at Luton station car park d) The general management of CCTV evidence is riddled with serious problems	2 4 3 0	0 0 0 0

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
 F = An assigned value supporting the “inside job” hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

THE LONDON BOMBINGS OF 7 TH JULY 2005 - HALLMARKS OF AN INSIDE JOB / COVER UP				
SECTION III) MOCK TERROR DRILLS AND PHANTOM TERROR EVENTS				
	ISSUE	PREMISE	F	A
1	Existence of mock terror drill exercises	a) There was a mock terror drill exercise occurring at very same time and place on 7 th July 05 Peter Power / Visor Consultants on 7 TH July 2005 made startling announcements on the day. b) Remarkable similarity associated with Panorama's simulation of attacks in London - 16 TH May 04 – London Under Attack –featuring Peter Power, Kirsty Lang and Michael Portillo c) Atlantic Blue April 05 d) Terror drills on 7 th Sep 03 at Bank Stn; 17 th Sept 04 at Lambeth North, and 12 th June 05 Tower Hill	5 4 1 1	0 0 0 0
2	Highly improbable coincidences	a) Peter Power's early announcement – <i>"At half past nine this morning we were running an exercise for over a company over a thousand people in London based on simultaneous bombs going off precisely at the railway stations where it happened this morning!"</i> Coincidence almost impossible –interdependence between drill and attacks is a virtual certainty – this obvious fact ignored. b) A firm with links to the demolition trade – "KINGSTAR" - just so happened to be adjacent to diverted No 30 bus before, during and after the explosion c) Presence of Benjamin Nethanyhu in Tavistock Sq & former NY Mayor, Rudi Giuliani in London; d) Israeli reports that Benjamin Nethanyhu was given a prior warning. e) By 12 th July, the police had identified three of the four bombers when in total just 5 from 56 had been identified by then.	5 5 2 1	0 0 0 0
3	Shifting story	a) Peter Power's changing story 17 Sep 06 and 15 December 07 http://julyseventh.co.uk/july-7-terror-rehearsal.html b) Peter Power's tardiness in disclosing details of Reeds Elsevier (September 08)	4 1	1 1
4	No investigation	a) State failure to promptly investigate Peter Power / Visor Consultants b) Inappropriate charges over cold blooded assassination of Jean Charles De Menezes 22 nd July 05 c) The activities of Martin Mc Daid and HAROOD Rashid Anwaaat as assets	5 5	0 0
5	Phantom Terror / Pre Planned Events	d) Controlled explosions in Sheffield & Nottingham - 5 th July 05 e) Bomb scare in Gleneagles –near G8 summit – 5 th July 05 f) Resilience Mortuary contract - 6 th July 05 g) The London Mass Fatality Plan issues days before 7 TH July 05 h) Terror incidents in Birmingham on 9 th July 05; Cardiff 9 th July; Sheffield on 11 th , and Leeds on 12 th .	2 3 3 2	1 1 0 1

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
 F = An assigned value supporting the "inside job" hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

THE LONDON BOMBINGS OF 7 TH JULY 2005 - HALLMARKS OF AN INSIDE JOB / COVER UP				
SECTION IV) DRACONIAN MEASURES AND PERVERTING THE COURSE OF JUSTICE				
	ISSUE	PREMISE	F	A
1	Closed and secret practices	a) No Public Inquiry - Ex Prime Minister Tony Blair - 10 th July 05 " <i>Ludicrous Diversion</i> " b) No Independent Public Inquiry – Home Secretary Charles Clarke – 15/12/05 and later John Reid. c) No Post Mortems on any of the 56 deceased is deeply suspicious d) Rights of families and witnesses infringed. e) Diversionary terms of reference of the Lady Justice Hallett's hearing f) Terms of Reference of this hearing failed to address the question of who was guilty	5 4 3 5 4 4	0 0 0 0 0 0
2	Selected Other Miscarriages of Justice and a Complete Erosion of Trust	a) The arrest, extradition, prosecution, imprisonment and subsequent release of Muad 'Dib. Muad'Dib mailed some DVDs of his '7/7 Ripple Effect' film to a clerk of the court in the Kingston trial. The Irish Supreme Court extradited him and the British Courts imprisoned him for 150 days. This coincided with the Lady Justice Hallett hearing. b) The Trial and Retrial of three 7/7 suspects - on Tuesday 28th April 2009, the Kingston re-trial jury unanimously refused to convict Waheed Ali, Mohammed Shakil and Sadeer Saleem, of helping to plot the 7/7 attacks, finding them not guilty. They had spent over two years in prison. c) Assassination and of John Charles De Menezes on 22 nd July 05 and a secret judicial whitewash d) The case of Mohammed Hamid – BBC sponsored paintballing is not a crime In the wake of the London bombings, he was arrested, along with four other men, and charged and subsequently found guilty in 2008 of 'providing terrorist training'. The four others were given determinate prison sentences with definite release dates, but Mohammed, who was also convicted of three counts of soliciting murder. e) The "Ricine" Plot that Never Was in 2003 where 119 arrested, 9 Charged - only 1 person convicted. f) The Old Trafford Bomb Plot 19 th April 2004. g) The treatment of Professor Rod Thornton, Riswan Sabir/ Hichman Yesser - Nottingham University h) The Birmingham 6, the Guildford 4, the Maguire 7, Danny McNamee. i) Omissions and distortions of the 9/11 Commission report and our government's blind acceptance that the report also represents the unvarnished truth and the lone voice of MP Michael Meacher. j) The case of Dr David Kelly and the lone investigative work of MP Norman Baker	2 5 5 2 1 1 1 3 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
 F = An assigned value supporting the "inside job" hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

THE LONDON BOMBINGS of 7th JULY 2005 - HALLMARKS OF AN INSIDE JOB / COVER UP				
SECTION V) THE “OUTRIGHT TERROR” BUS – BOLD & BRILLIANT OR COLD & FLIPPANT				
	ISSUE	PREMISE	F	A
1	The CCTV Blackout	a) On 9 th July, Scotland Yard put out a statement that no CCTV images were available from the bus. b) Contrary to the view of Scotland Yard, Stagecoach –insisted that their cameras had been working. c) The bus had received special treatment the previous weekend - a maintenance group previously unknown to the depot spent 20hrs supposedly seeing if the CCTV On the no 30 bus was still working	3 3 3	0 0 0
2	Extraordinary and Suspicious Events and Circumstances of no. 30 Bus	a) Route diversion into Tavistock Sq by the Metropolitan Police b) Clear photographic evidence that the top of the bus had been sawn – there is a clear cut line and a clear power saw mark on the upper roof front left support c) The clear video and photographic evidence of the presence of a Kingstar Van six feet away from the rear to the bus where explosion occurred. d) As a firm, Kingstar is based 12 miles away and specializes in controlled demolition. e) Bus driver abandons bus and goes on a bizarre walkabout f) Sapna Khimani was as near to the alleged rucksack as Hussein yet she survived relatively unharmed. g) Symbolic signatures at Olympics were replicated at closing ceremony at Beijing. h) Outright Terror Bold and Brilliant advertisement on the Tavistock Sq bus. i) Failure to account for the video footage of anonymous injured citizens in Tavistock Sq.	1 4 4 4 2 3 1 1	0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0
3	Conflicting Witness Accounts offering an alternative narrative	a) The changing and conflicting testimonies of Richard Jones over time. b) The early accounts of Tania Calabrese and Tony Cancellera point towards two people running off the No 30 bus just before the explosion. c) Suspicious microwave box seen on the lower deck of the exploded microwave bus by PC Walker as reported in the Hampsstead & Highgate Express d) The book and witness testimony on video of Daniel Obachike e) Bus driver George Psaradakis states that he can't recall anyone resembling Hasib Hussein f) The changing and contradictory testimonies of Richard Jones alleging that he has seen Hasib Hussein on the lower deck of the bus which was contrary to where official story places Hasib Hussein g) The statement of Lisa French at the Inquest in January 2011 contradicted her early statement h) The testimony of Mia Scott who was close by does not recall seeing Hussein close to her	2 2 1 1 4 2 2	0 0 1 0 1 2 0

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
 F = An assigned value supporting the “inside job” hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

THE LONDON BOMBINGS of 7 th JULY 2005 - HALLMARKS OF AN INSIDE JOB / COVER UP				
SECTION VI) FOUR UNLIKELY LADS – HASIB HUSSEIN				
ISSUE	PREMISE	F	A	
HASIB HUSSEIN & THE NO 30 BUS AT TAVISTOCK SQUARE	a) The Home Office account expects us to believe that HASIB HUSSEIN went on a rather strange bus trip taking 91 one way only to get on a 30 in the opposite direction.	2	0	
	b) The reliability of the Inquest Testimony of Lisa French (J7Truth & Nick Kollestrom)	1	1	
	c) HASIB HUSSEIN's father - Mahmoud wrote a letter to Nick Kollestrom arguing that Hasib is an unlikely terrorist.	1	0	
	d) There is scant evidence to show that HASIB HUSSEIN has a profile of a terrorist	1	0	
	e) No CCTV can show HASIB HUSSEIN on the No 30 bus.	3	0	
	f) The shifting and discredited testimonies of Richard Jones point more towards a pre-planned attempt to frame HASIB HUSSEIN rather than guilt	3	0	
	g) There is no reliable testimony placing HASIB HUSSEIN on the no 30 bus.	3	0	
	h) The mysterious find of HUSSEIN's driving licence he never possessed	1	0	
	i) Conflicting accounts about the direction of the no 30 bus mean that the notion that Hasib Hussain boarded the 30 bus at Euston, can legitimately be challenged as another highly questionable assertion made by the government.	2	0	
	j) The account of Marie Oates Whitehead (later to die) regarding a second explosion and numerous accounts of a microwave box and the denial of Scotland Yard	1	0	
	k) Lack of forensic about the bomb – conjecture made by Kim Simpson	1	0	
	l) Ms Sapna Khimani was sitting immediately behind where the Met have placed HASIB HUSSEIN. She was as near to the alleged "rucksack" as was Hasib Hussein, yet she is alive and well and gave testimony at the inquest.	1	0	
	m) CCTV footage of Hasib Hussein in Boots at 9am on 7 th July 2005	1	1	
	n) The story of HH and the purchase of a 9 Volt Battery from Boots	1	1	
	o) Hasib Hussein described as a gentle giant by those who knew him well.	1	0	

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
 F = An assigned value supporting the "inside job" hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

THE LONDON BOMBINGS of 7th JULY 2005 - HALLMARKS OF AN INSIDE JOB / COVER UP

SECTION VII) FOUR UNLIKELY LADS – SHEHZAD TANWEER

ISSUE	PREMISE	F	A
SHEHZAD TANWEER & ALDGATE	a) There were no eyewitness accounts of TANWEER on train	2	0
	b) There is no CCTV evidence which places TANWEER in London on the day	4	0
	c) The many personal testimonies of TANWEER as show on the J7TRUTH website suggest TANWEER was a highly unlikely terrorist	2	0
	d) The original body count at Aldgate station by Dr Morgan Costello was 7; whereas 8 bodies are required to account for TANWEER dying in the train carriage	2	0
	e) At Lady Justice Hallett's hearing, we are therefore expected to believe that TANWEER's body was blown into 52 pieces while we are simultaneously expected to believe that TANWEER's rucksack was put down in a corner.	1	0
	f) At Lady Justice Hallett's hearing we are expected to believe that Hydrogen peroxide and black pepper somehow blew TANWEER's body into "52" little pieces.	1	0
	g) At Lady Justice Hallett's hearing we are expected to believe that various bits of ID including a receipt for the purchase of Hydrogen Peroxide were found.	1	2
	h) Testimony of Bruce Lait suggests blasts on this train came from undercarriage.	1	0
	i) Emma Brown testimony London Assembly 06, suggests blast came from vent underneath and other accounts and injuries sustained suggests blast came from underneath carriage.	1	0
	j) William Walsh - next to the bomb location - was not killed with no record of injuries.	1	0
	k) Numerous accounts spoke of an electrifying experience inconsistent with the suicide bomb	1	0
	l) Evidence from the coaches has been kept secret and subsequently destroyed	2	0
	m) We are expected to believe that TANWEER changed his trousers on his journey down to London as per fuzzy CCTV evidence	1	0
	n) TANWEER was initially declared a "clean skin" which was later contradicted by evidence presented under Op Crevice which asserts he was arrested with KHAN in March 2004	1	1
	o) With KHAN, TANWEER did a visit to a military camp in Pakistan	2	2
	p) Intelligence suggests TANWEER met Bluewater plot ring leader OMAR KYYAM 3 times - each time photographed by MI5	3	3

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
 F = An assigned value supporting the "inside job" hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

THE LONDON BOMBINGS of 7th JULY 2005 - HALLMARKS OF AN INSIDE JOB / COVER UP

SECTION VIII) FOUR UNLIKELY LADS – GERMAINE LINDSEY

ISSUE	PREMISE	F	A
GERMAINE LINDSAY AND PICCADILLY LINE BLAST (KINGS CROSS / RUSSELL SQUARE)	a) There is no clear evidence for any motivation he may have had to carry out attack.	1	0
	b) No witnesses	2	0
	c) Blast hole is 12ft to 15ft away from where Met Police models place Germaine's body	2	0
	d) Passports, birth certificates and Hydrogen per oxide finds suggest plants	2	1
	e) Adjacent (109) walks away uninjured	1	0
	f) Injuries from blast predominantly to the feet and legs	1	0
	g) It appears that LINDSAY begged to speak to the Duty Manager at King's Cross before the bombs went off saying it is about something very important. LINDSAY was adamant it had to be the duty manager.	1	0
	h) The early testimonies of Germaine's wife Samantha Lewthwaite	1	0
	i) The take Samantha Lewthwaite to an unknown location and sedate her. Two months later and her story changed.	1	1
	j) We are expected to believe that Jude Obi was next to LINDSEY walked away from blast uninjured with no idea that the bomb has gone off.	1	0
	k) The testimony of DI John Brunsden says Hydrogen Peroxide bottles found	1	2
	l) The testimonies of Lindsay's family betray the government narrative	1	1
	m) Anti-terrorist squad – passport and driving licence finds	1	1
	n) The mysterious case of Tom Cairns and the 311 train and denials by the Met Police. The MPS claim to have no record of Tom Nairn the driver of Piccadilly Line train 311?	1	0
	o) Rachel North Testimony		
	p) Accounts from train passengers do not add up to a coherent picture	1	1
	q) Lindsay's property said to be found at the scene of the King's Cross / Russell Square blast was found two days after police had raided his home?	1 1	0 1
	r) When arriving in Luton from Aylesbury in a Fiat Brava LINDSAY nods off and receives a parking ticket.	1	1
	s) No searching questions are asked at the Inquest	3	1
	t) There is no intelligent mind seemingly evaluating exhibits /evidence	3	1

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
F = An assigned value supporting the "inside job" hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

THE LONDON BOMBINGS of 7 th JULY 2005 - HALLMARKS OF AN INSIDE JOB / COVER UP			
SECTION IV) FOUR UNLIKELY LADS – MOHAMMED SIDDIQUE KHAN (MSK)			
ISSUE	PREMISE	F	A
MOHAMMED SIDDIQUE KHAN EDGWARE ROAD	a) Only 6 dead bodies originally counted at Edgware Rd. This was numbered as 7 in inquest.	4	0
	b) Early reports classed MOHAMMED SIDDIQUE KHAN as a “Clean Skin”	2	0
	c) Operation Crevice - Khan’s gets a posthumous character assassination by the Intelligence services /British Police who claim to shadowing him for over a year. Links to “Q”.	1	2
	d) Secrecy excludes us from knowing which element of MSK network such as “Q”, MARTIN “Abdullah” McDaid”, Mohammed Junaid Babar were MI5 assets or otherwise.	2	2
	e) We are told MSK had been covertly monitored, but the blatantly obvious consideration of the degree to which MSK could have been an MI5 asset /patsy has not been tested in court.	4	0
	f) Claims were made about MSK in “Crevice” trial appear to be based on documents surfacing after 7 TH July 2005, not one of which can be reliably dated to prior to that date.	1	1
	g) The arrest and treatment of the wife of MSK, Hasina Patel and her six day imprisonment without charge on 9 th May 2007.	2	0
	h) The only person on the train who claims to have seen Khan is Danny Biddle. Mr. Biddle’s testimony changed significantly over time.	3	1
	i) Professor Tulloch allocated as sitting directly opposite Khan had no recollection seeing him.		
	j) We have been told that documents of MSK were found at Edgware Rd, Aldgate and Tavistock Sq. MSK had supposedly been blown to pieces, yet his ID remained intact.	3	1
	k) MSK was known for good community action work with Labour MP John Trickett, MP Hilary Benn and the Hillside Primary Headteacher	3	0
	l) Khan’s profile and his good character was anything but in keeping with being a terrorist	3	0
	m) Khan was a productive community youth worker with many things going for him	2	0
	n) His friends and family do not accept that he was a terrorist	2	0
	o) 2 months after Khan’s death a video was released by Al Jazeera. The circumstances surrounding how, when and why that video was made remain unclear.	2	3
	p) It is clear that Khan visited London on 28 th June with Tanweer and Jamal	2	3
	q) Jenny Nicholson who died & Simon Corvett seems to have been on the eastbound Circle line going in the opposite direction to that on which a suicide bomb is said to be exploded.	3 3	0 0

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
F = An assigned value supporting the “inside job” hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

SECTION IX) CANARY WHARF & INSTITUTIONAL DENIALS				
	ISSUE	PREMISE	F	A
1	Suspicious Death	a) Myriam Hyman who was determined to get to her workplace at Canary Wharf could not have been on the No 30 bus as her father spoke to on the phone her after the time of the explosion.	2	0
2	Witness Testimony	a) The testimony of “Gareth” about what happened at CanaRY Wharf. Gareth was from the 9-11 Truth movement and he made a testimony which was posted in 2007 as recorded in Nick Kollestrom’s Terror On the Tube.	2	0
3	Institutional Denial of Obvious Significant Occurrences	Dr Rory Ridley Duff’s Theorising Truth – What Happened at Canary Wharf provides a stark illustration if the institutional denial of significant occurrences. Conspicuous by absence of acknowledgment		
		a) As many as 17 News Reports of suspicions events that happened at Canary Wharf on 7 th July 05.	4	0
		b) There are reports of assassinations and Suicide Bombers being “neutralized” at Canary Wharf	2	0
		c) Whatever did happen at Canary Wharf, at best it seems clear that the state apparatus enabled the following to happen: (i) Prevent anybody entering or leaving Canary Wharf for six hours; (ii) Instruct staff to keep away from the windows; (iii) Deploy large numbers of police and/or army personnel to Canary Wharf; (iv) Cut off internet access. (v) Massive rush of police officers seen.	3	0
		d) At worst, and most likely the case, the state apparatus carried out assassinations on innocent young Muslims who had been set up as patsies to be framed for the attacks earlier that morning.	2	0
		e) Despite all this, by inference, the Government’s position is that nothing of significance takes place at Canary Wharf. That is clearly a deliberate monstrous lie and is totally unacceptable.	3	0
		Dr Rory Ridley Duff’s report compares the theories behind 7/7 Ripple Effect and 7/7 BBC Conspiracy Files and concludes: “ After deploying three different theories of truth to develop insights into new and existing evidence, it is the BBC / Government theory that has a lower level of correspondence with known ‘facts’, is incoherent to the point of being implausible, and is more likely to distort its reports because of institutional controls and political pressures. The large number of press reports uncovered during the research for this paper (outlining a ‘lock-down’ and ‘shooting’ at Canary Wharf) adds to the evidence base for the theory put forward in 7/7 Ripple Effect. As a result, the case for a public inquiry outside the scope of the Inquiries Act 2005 continues to grow.	3	0

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
F = An assigned value supporting the “inside job” hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative

CONCLUSION

The body of evidence openly available compels me to conclude that the London Bombings of 7/7 have far more hallmarks of an inside job than of an Al Qaeda attack. Reluctantly I had reached that conclusion on the 7th July 2010 exactly five years after the devastating event. Nearly eighteen months later when I can stand back and reflect more on the data, I remain of the view within the constraints of my own limited powers of reasoning and critical thought that when looked at systematically this assertion is strengthened rather than weakened. Analysis of the data does indeed permit me to infer that the London Bombs were inside jobs with a probability assessment of greater than 95 per cent certain. In my strategic analysis template (pages 2 to 11), I have tried to demonstrate a reasonably systematic approach which allows me to reach such an awful conclusion with such a degree of confidence.

The obvious conclusion to draw from the templates is that quite simply there are just too many clues. Researchers may assign different scores and weights to the facts presented, but what is important to discern from this analysis is the regular and consistent pattern pointing against supporting the official version of events. If the official version were truthful, no such pattern would emerge and the analysis would be forced to support the official line in almost every respect.

I could not have reached such conclusions without access to previous primary research carried out by those listed on page 1 of the templates. Such work as outlined on page 1 is invaluable in alerting the public and pressurizing the Government to come clean. Breaking down the complex web of deceit and lies is clearly an uphill struggle, but it remains a valiant and all important one to pursue. Those seeking truth each have their own ways of exerting pressure and while views may differ on the best approach, the pursuit of the truth and a hatred of lies seems to be our common ground. It is plain to see that we have not had the truth about the London Bombings - institutional denial still grips the nation for the most part. That is a disgrace and an indictment on the state of our nation. My sincere desire is that more people would take heed of the accessible research rather than turn a blind eye to it. Voices need to be heard shouting from the rooftops! I include politicians, the Judiciary and police personnel in that plea. In times of universal deceit, telling the truth becomes a revolutionary act as George Orwell once had it

If there can be no confidence or trust in government to do their jobs properly on a terror event such as the London Bombings we are in deep trouble as a nation. The implications of what I am saying are deeply distressing. Whitehall is to 7/7 what the Whitehouse is to 9/11. The secrecy, deceit and cover up so evident from the data is unacceptable and a complete and utter affront to humanity and the human race. It is time to wake up from the slumber and point the finger at the real culprits here.

KEY: 0 = No Support; 1 = Slight Support; 2 = Modest Support; 3 = Strong Support; 4 = Very Strong Support; 5 = Compelling Support;
F = An assigned value supporting the "inside job" hypothesis; A = An assigned value supporting the government narrative